

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

1A-1 CoC Name and Number: CA-521 - Davis/Woodland/Yolo County CoC

1A-2 Collaborative Applicant Name: Yolo Community Care Continuum

1A-3 CoC Designation: CA

1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Operations

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

1B-1 How often does the CoC conduct meetings of the full CoC membership? Bi-Monthly

1B-2 How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation? Annually

1B-3 Does the CoC include membership of a homeless or formerly homeless person? Yes

1B-4 For members who are homeless or formerly homeless, what role do they play in the CoC membership? Advisor, Volunteer, Organizational employee
 Select all that apply.

1B-5 Does the CoC’s governance charter incorporate written policies and procedures for each of the following:

1B-5.1 Written agendas of CoC meetings?	Yes
1B-5.2 Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System?	Yes
1B-5.3 Process for Monitoring Outcomes of ESG Recipients?	Yes
1B-5.4 CoC policies and procedures?	Yes
1B-5.5 Written process for board selection?	Yes
1B-5.6 Code of conduct for board members that includes a recusal process?	Yes
1B-5.7 Written standards for administering assistance?	Yes

1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Committees

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

1C-1 Provide information for up to five of the most active CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, and/or workgroups, including a brief description of the role and the frequency of meetings. Collaborative Applicants should only list committees, subcommittees and/or workgroups that are directly involved in CoC-wide planning, and not the regular delivery of services.

	Name of Group	Role of Group (limit 750 characters)	Meeting Frequency	Names of Individuals and/or Organizations Represented
1C-1.1	All-HPAC Committee: Yolo County Homeless and Poverty Action Coalition	The Yolo County Homeless and Poverty Action Coalition (HPAC) is the HUD Continuum of Care for Yolo County. Its role is to promote community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness, promote access to and effective use of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families, and optimize self-sufficiency among individuals and families experiencing homelessness. Its major responsibilities are to operate the CoC, oversee the HMIS, and monitor the implementation of the HPAC Strategic Plan.	Bi-Monthly	Nonprofits, Cities/County, housing providers, ESG subrecipients, formerly homeless individuals, and faith-based groups serving the homeless: veterans, HIV/AIDS, youth, domestic violence survivors, those with mental illness or substance abuse issues
1C-1.2	Technical Committee	Guides the overall HPAC planning process to ensure there is a complete continuum of care for the homeless in Yolo County. Addresses the technical requirements of the CoC and ESG Programs. Facilitates cooperative approaches to obtaining grant funding. Oversees the application process for CoC Program and ESG Program. Monitors and reviews current HUD grants, including APRs. Arranges presentations about mainstream resources and benefits programs. Acts on behalf of HPAC on issues needing a response before the next All-HPAC meeting. Alternates meetings with All-HPAC.	Bi-Monthly	Davis Community Meals, Fourth & Hope, Legal Services of Northern California, Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Center, United Christian Centers, YC Department of Employment and Social Services, Yolo County Housing, Yolo Family Resource Center

1C-1.3	Ten Year Plan Executive Commission	One Piece at a Time: Ending and Preventing Homelessness for Yolo County Residents, 2010-2020, was adopted in May 2010. The role of the Executive Commission is to guide the overall development of the Plan and provide leadership to the Action Teams and Task Forces. The seven-member board includes representatives from the five jurisdictions (one is an alternate), the HPAC Chair, and a formerly homeless person. It is staffed by Yolo County Housing.	Quarterly	City of Davis, City of West Sacramento, City of Winters, City of Woodland, County of Yolo, Yolo County Housing and Poverty Action Coalition (the CoC), and a formerly homeless individual. Staffed by Yolo County Housing (Public Housing Agency)
1C-1.4	HMIS Collaborative	HPAC changed HMIS providers on July 1, 2013. Collaborative is responsible for the development and implementation of the new HMIS. Approved the contract with Silver Spur Systems, the HMIS Provider. Developed the MoU with Sacramento Steps Forward (Sacramento County CoC) to serve as System Administrator. Has drafted the HMIS Governance Document, Policies and Procedures Manual, and MoU with Contributing HMIS Organizations. Initially focusing on the CoC-funded and ESG agencies but planning to expand users. Arranged kick-off HMIS trainings and ongoing training. Facilitates User Group Meetings with SSF. Monitoring usage of the HMIS. Exploring ways to use HMIS Data to evaluate not only project performance but also CoC performance and effectiveness.	Bi-Monthly	CoC Program and ESG Subrecipients funding the HMIS. Members: Davis Community Meals, Fourth and Hope, United Christian Centers, Yolo Community Care Continuum, Yolo Family Resource Center, and the Yolo County Homeless Coordinator
1C-1.5	Coordinated Assessment/ESG Issues Task Force	Responsible for developing, implementing, and improving the Coordinated Assessment process, the ESG Written Standards, and identifying areas where the CoC Program and ESG Programs should be integrated. No jurisdiction in Yolo County receives ESG funds directly from HUD. Therefore, our agencies must apply to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for ESG funds. Members of the Task Force participate in any planning webinars, Bidders' Workshops, etc. given by HCD. Members include current and potential ESG Subrecipients. HPAC developed a Coordinated Assessment process during HPRP implementation; Task Force has been updating and improving the process and integrating it with HMIS and 2-1-1.	Monthly	Davis Community Meals, Fourth and Hope, United Christian Centers, Yolo Community Care Continuum, Yolo Family Resource Center, Yolo 2-1-1, and the Yolo County Homeless Coordinator

**1C-2 Describe how the CoC considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area when establishing the CoC-wide committees, subcommittees, and workgroups.
(limit 750 characters)**

There is a major homeless services provider in each of the major cities, that provides a full range of homeless services. They receive both CoC and ESG program funds and form the core of most committees along with the Yolo Family Resource Center, Yolo Community Care Continuum, and the Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Center. In forming a CoC-wide committee we look for diversity: area served in county, public and private agencies, individuals and families, mainstream benefits and dedicated homeless services, populations served, persons with lived experience (formerly homeless), and the best match with role of the committee. The Homeless Coordinator sends out frequent emails announcing meetings and inviting participation.

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

1D-1 Describe the specific ranking and selection process the CoC uses to make decisions regarding project application review and selection, based on objective criteria. Written documentation of this process must be attached to the application along with evidence of making the information publicly available. (limit 750 characters)

PRE NOFA RELEASE: Reviewed the ESnaps Weekly Topics and planned for the likelihood of cuts. Currently funded agencies considered where they might be able to absorb cuts, to minimize impact on their programs.

NOFA RELEASE: HPAC members were alerted that the only new projects available were Reallocation Projects (for \$23,009) and CoC Planning. No new agency expressed interest.

RENEWALS: After much discussion, we determined that the best fit with HUD's and HPAC's overall priorities would be to apply the 5% cuts proportionally to the Transitional Housing Projects. An All-HPAC meeting was held for further exploration and approval. The full HPAC, with applicant agencies abstaining, approved the rating and ranking on January 22.

1D-2 Describe how the CoC reviews and ranks projects using periodically collected data reported by projects, conducts analysis to determine each project's effectiveness that results in participants rapid return to permanent housing, and takes into account the severity of barriers faced by project participants. Description should include the specific data elements and metrics that are reviewed to do this analysis. (limit 1000 characters)

Throughout the year, the Technical Committee reviews and discusses the programs as each APR is turned in. We have been hampered in the past from conducting further analysis, by an HMIS system and provider that was unresponsive to our local needs. We recently transitioned to a new HMIS provider to better meet our needs.

Therefore, a key initiative for the next year is to develop and implement a process to better address the CoC Regulations, HUD's priorities, and our local priorities by focusing on key performance measures such as rapid return to permanent housing. We will also be addressing how to review ESG projects.

1D-3 Describe the extent in which the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior Homeless Assistance Grants competitions. (limit 750 characters)

For any funding source, first the Homeless Coordinator announces the availability of the funds. Next, there is a meeting of the potential applicants to discuss the best use of the funds and opportunities for collaboration. We follow this process with CoC funds as well. In addition, the Coordinator meets with any agency interested. In past few months, the Coordinator met with two agencies. Their interest, however, was in projects that cannot be funded with CoC Program funds.

Given that most of the eligible providers already receive CoC Program funds, that our ARD was \$460,184, past Bonus amounts under \$50,000, and that amount available for reallocation was \$23,009, our issue is usually finding an agency willing to apply.

1D-4 On what date did the CoC post on its website all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, including the Priority Listings with ranking information and notified project applicants and stakeholders the information was available? Written documentation of this notification process (e.g., evidence of the website where this information is published) must be attached to the application. 01/31/2014

1D-5 If there were changes made to the ranking after the date above, what date was the final ranking posted?

1D-6 Did the CoC attach the final GIW approved by HUD either during CoC Registration or, if applicable, during the 7-day grace period following the publication of the CoC Program NOFA without making changes? No

1D-6.1 If no, briefly describe each of the specific changes that were made to the GIW (without HUD approval) including any addition or removal of projects, revisions to line item amounts, etc. For any projects that were revised, added, or removed, identify the applicant name, project name, and grant number. (limit 1000 characters)

The final GIW sent by HUD on November 18 was attached during the grace period and has not been changed.

City of Woodland
2009 PSH for CH Individuals
CA0851B9T210900

On October 30, the Field Office noticed the Operations amount had been listed in the Leasing column and Leasing amount in Operations. I agreed. When I was attaching the GIW, I noticed that the correction had not been made on the 11/18 version. Alerted the Field Office who forwarded it but said that since it didn't change the ARD, we probably wouldn't get a corrected version.

City of Woodland
2012 Reallocation PSH
CA1206L9T211200

Zeroed out per HUD's suggestion on the CoC's copy of the GIW because it is a 2012 project not under grant agreement.

City of Davis
Permanent Supportive Housing for Adult Men
CA1100B9T211100

Consulted with the Field Office. This 2011 project was scheduled to begin by 1/1/14 but there was a hitch and it was not able to begin. It is not eligible to be a renewal. Field Office and Desk Officer said to zero it out.

1D-7 Were there any written complaints received by the CoC in relation to project review, project selection, or other items related to 24 CFR 578.7 or 578.9 within the last 12 months? No

1D-7.1 If yes, briefly describe the complaint(s), how it was resolved, and the date(s) in which it was resolved. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

1E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Housing Inventory

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

**1E-1 Did the CoC submit the 2013 HIC data in Yes
the HDX by April 30, 2013?**

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Intructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2A-1 Describe how the CoC ensures that the HMIS is administered in compliance with the CoC Program interim rule, conformance with the 2010 HMIS Data Standards and related HUD Notices. (limit 1000 characters)

In 2013, we contracted with a new HMIS provider as a part of a partnership agreement with Sacramento Steps Forward (SSF), the CoC for neighboring Sacramento County to provide HMIS support. The new provider offered reduced User Fees based on Sacramento County licensing with a flat-rate annual CoC fee. As Yolo's CoC has no funding for HMIS, the greatly reduced system costs and support provided at no cost by the Sacramento CoC was critical for ongoing HMIS participation. Additional partners are now also considering using the system.

The SSF HMIS Analyst serves as our HMIS Systems Administrator. SSF is dedicated to maintaining and overseeing an HMIS that is effective and in compliance with all guidance related to operation of an HMIS. SSF's HMIS Analyst is fully versed in the requirements and policies established in the Interim Rule, 2010 HMIS Data Standards and related HUD Notices. She has trained Yolo personnel and offers monthly Users Meetings.

HPAC is still the responsible party for Yolo HMIS. However, the HMIS Collaborative follows the lead of SSF to ensure compliance with the all related Standards.

2A-2 Does the governance charter in place between the CoC and the HMIS Lead include the most current HMIS requirements and outline the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead? Yes If yes, a copy must be attached.

2A-3 For each of the following plans, describe the extent in which it has been developed by the HMIS Lead and the frequency in which the CoC has reviewed it: Privacy Plan, Security Plan, and Data Quality Plan. (limit 1000 characters)

It is the policy of the Yolo HMIS Collaborative that we develop and implement a Privacy Plan, Security Plan, and Data Quality Plan. Guidance provided about privacy, security, and data quality during the transition to a new HMIS provider is being incorporating into routine practices. We are currently formalizing these into Privacy, Security, and Data Quality Plans, which will be incorporated into the HMIS Governance document. The adopted Plans will be reviewed at least annually or when any changes are made to the Data Standards.

2A-4 What is the name of the HMIS software selected by the CoC and the HMIS Lead? Clarity
Applicant will enter the HMIS software name (e.g., ABC Software).

2A-5 What is the name of the HMIS vendor? Silver Spur Systems LLC/Bitfocus, Inc.
Applicant will enter the name of the vendor (e.g., ESG Systems).

2A-6 Does the CoC plan to change the HMIS software within the next 18 months? No

2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

2B-1 Select the HMIS implementation coverage area: Single CoC

2B-2 Select the CoC(s) covered by the HMIS: CA-521 - Davis/Woodland/Yolo County CoC
(select all that apply)

2B-3 In the chart below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.

2B-3.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	\$0
ESG	\$0
CDBG	\$0
HOME	\$0
HOPWA	\$0
Federal - HUD - Total Amount	\$0

2B-3.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	\$0
Department of Health and Human Services	\$0
Department of Labor	\$0
Department of Agriculture	\$0
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$0
Other Federal	\$0
Other Federal - Total Amount	\$0

2B-3.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding
City	\$0
County	\$0
State	\$0
State and Local - Total Amount	\$0

2B-3.4 Funding Type: Private

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	\$0
Organization	\$0
Private - Total Amount	\$0

2B-3.5 Funding Type: Other

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	\$16,200
Other - Total Amount	\$16,200

2B-3.6 Total Budget for Operating Year	\$16,200
---	-----------------

2B-4 How was the HMIS Lead selected by the CoC? Agency Volunteered

2B-4.1 If other, provide a description as to how the CoC selected the HMIS Lead. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2C-1 Indicate the HMIS bed coverage rate (%) for each housing type within the CoC. If a particular housing type does not exist anywhere within the CoC, select "Housing type does not exist in CoC" from the drop-down menu:

* Emergency shelter	86%+
* Safe Haven (SH) beds	Housing type does not exist in CoC
* Transitional Housing (TH) beds	86%+
* Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	86%+
* Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	65-75%

2C-2 How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage? Quarterly

2C-3 If the bed coverage rate for any housing type is 64% or below, describe how the CoC plans to increase this percentage over the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

2C-4 If the Collaborative Applicant indicated that the bed coverage rate for any housing type was 64% or below in the FY2012 CoC Application, describe the specific steps the CoC has taken to increase this percentage. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2D-1 For each housing type, indicate the average length of time project participants remain in housing. If a housing type does not exist in the CoC, enter "0".

Type of Housing	Average Length of Time in Housing
Emergency Shelter	60
Transitional Housing	6
Safe Haven	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	2
Rapid Re-housing	4

2D-2 Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values on a day during the last 10 days of January 2013 for each Universal Data Element listed below.

Universal Data Element	Percentage
Name	0%
Social security number	2%
Date of birth	0%
Ethnicity	0%
Race	0%
Gender	0%
Veteran status	0%
Disabling condition	0%
Residence prior to program entry	5%
Zip Code of last permanent address	5%
Housing status	0%
Head of household	0%

2D-3 Describe the extent in which HMIS generated data is used to generate HUD required reports (e.g., APR, CAPER, etc.). (limit 1000 characters)

Yolo agencies have used the new HMIS system to generate APRs. The system is capable of producing other HUD required reports, but they have not yet been utilized.

SSF is able to use HMIS generated data for all project-level and community-level reports required by HUD. Project-level reports include CoC APRs and the ESG CAPER. Community reports include the AHAR, HIC, PIT, and CoC Application.

The HMIS software vendor regularly updates system-generated reports to meet changes to federal reporting requirements and standards. These reports are reviewed by the SSF HMIS Analyst to ensure compliance.

The HMIS not only generates HUD-required reports but also allows SSF to customize reports necessary for local planning and monitoring. All HMIS Users are trained to generate their own reports for grant writing, providing data to their government and private funders and making program level decisions and changes.

2D-4 How frequently does the CoC review the data quality in the HMIS of program level data? Quarterly

2D-5 Describe the process through which the CoC works with the HMIS Lead to assess data quality. Include how the CoC and HMIS Lead collaborate, and how the CoC works with organizations that have data quality challenges. (Limit 1000 characters)

The SSF HMIS Analyst identifies agencies and users that are facing challenges through routine data quality checks and invites them to meet with her to resolve the issues. She also alerts the Yolo CoC Coordinator so that she can follow-up and make sure the issues were resolved. The CoC Coordinator is learning to run project-specific and CoC-wide reports. These are analyzed and discussed at meetings of the HMIS Collaborative.

2D-6 How frequently does the CoC review the data quality in the HMIS of client-level data? Quarterly

2E. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Usage and Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2E-1 Indicate the frequency in which the CoC uses HMIS data for each of the following activities:

* Measuring the performance of participating housing and service providers	Quarterly
* Using data for program management	Quarterly
* Integration of HMIS data with data from mainstream resources	Annually
* Integration of HMIS data with other Federal programs (e.g., HHS, VA, etc.)	Annually

2F. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Policies and Procedures

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2F-1 Does the CoC have a HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual? If yes, the HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual must be attached. No

2F-1.1 What page(s) of the HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual or governance charter includes the information regarding accuracy of capturing participant entry and exit dates in HMIS? (limit 250 characters)

The Yolo HMIS Collaborative is developing an HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual. It should be approved by March, 2014. We are developing the agreements between the HMIS Lead and the CHOs.

2F-2 Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organizations (CHOs)? No

2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Homeless Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2G-1 Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered point-in-time count (mm/dd/yyyy): 01/28/2013

2G-2 If the CoC conducted the sheltered point-in-time count outside of the last 10 days of January 2013, was an exception granted by HUD? Not Applicable

2G-3 Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered point-in-time count data in HDX: 04/30/2013

2G-4 Indicate the percentage of homeless service providers supplying sheltered point-in-time data:

Housing Type	Observation	Provider Shelter	Client Interview	HMIS
Emergency Shelters		20%	80%	
Transitional Housing		30%	70%	
Safe Havens				

2G-5 Comparing the 2012 and 2013 sheltered point-in-time counts, indicate if there was an increase, decrease, or no change and then describe the reason(s) for the increase, decrease, or no change. (Limit 750 characters)

2012: 250 persons
2013: 276 persons
Increase: 26 persons

From the 2012 to the 2103 HIC, emergency beds had increased by 9 and there were 26 more transitional housing beds. Since there was more housing available, there was an increase in people in sheltered situations and a decrease of those in unsheltered situations. Comparing data for each jurisdiction, increases were correlated with additional housing.

2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Homeless Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

*** 2H-1 Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2013 point-in-time count:**

Survey providers:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2H-2 If other, provide a detailed description. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2H-3 For each method selected, including other, describe how the method was used to ensure that the data collected on the sheltered homeless population during the 2013 point-in-time count was accurate. (limit 750 characters)

SURVEY PROVIDERS METHOD

PREPARATION: Emails were sent reminding programs about the PIT and HIC. The Count Coordinator phoned each program to gather data for the HIC and to discuss PIT procedures. Written instructions, a tally sheet, and agency-specific forms were emailed. Providers were reminded to include only for those in their housing on 1/28/13.

SHELTERED PROGRAMS CONDUCTED THE COUNT/SURVEYS: Most emergency programs interviewed each person/household. A few transitional housing programs filled out the forms from case records.

FOLLOW-UP: The Count Coordinator followed up to make sure all programs had returned their forms. They were reviewed and any corrections needed were made. 100% of the providers participated.

2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Homeless Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Collection

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

*** 2I-1 Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:**

HMIS:

HMIS plus extrapolation:

Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:

Sample strategy:
(if Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation is selected)

Provider expertise:

Interviews:

Non-HMIS client level information:

Other:

**2I-2 If other, provide a detailed description.
(limit 750 characters)**

**2I-3 For each method selected, including other, describe how the method was used to ensure that the data collected on the sheltered homeless population count during the 2013 point-in-time count was accurate.
(limit 750 characters)**

The Homeless Count form was designed to collect all the subpopulation data.

PROVIDER EXPERTISE: Some transitional housing programs completed a survey for each household from case records. The CommuniCare AIDS Coordinator provided aggregated HIV/AIDS information (also for the unsheltered).

INTERVIEWS: Training was provided to interviewers. The majority of programs interviewed because this is quicker for the providers and more accurate given the expanded questions. Homeless residents did not fill out the count forms themselves because we have found that we do not get accurate and complete information. One program holds a meeting with residents, talks them through the forms, and then checked each one for accuracy.

2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Homeless Point-in-Time Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

*** 2J-1 Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered point-in-time count:**

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Follow-up	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-HMIS de-duplication :	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2J-2 If other, provide a detailed description. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2J-3 For each method selected, including other, describe how the method was used to ensure that the data collected on the sheltered homeless population count during the 2013 point-in-time count was accurate. (limit 750 characters)

TRAINING: The Count Coordinators for each emergency or transitional housing program were trained on the form, the rationale for questions, and interviewing techniques. The Count Coordinator sent programs instructions and then called to check for understanding. The instructions were emailed again with the Count forms, with reminders to not include people on waiting lists.

FOLLOW-UP: The Count Coordinator emailed or phoned each agency to remind them about that night's Count. The Coordinator called programs if the forms hadn't been turned in within a week. The Data Entry Coordinator quickly scanned each form for completeness and contacted the program if there were any issues.

2K. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Homeless Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2K-1 Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered point-in-time count: 01/28/2013

2K-2 If the CoC conducted the unsheltered point-in-time count outside of the last 10 days of January 2013, was an exception granted by HUD? Not Applicable

2K-3 Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered point-in-time count data in HDX: 04/30/2013

2K-4 Comparing the 2013 unsheltered point-in-time count to the last unsheltered point-in-time count, indicate if there was an increase, decrease, or no change and describe the specific reason(s) for the increase, decrease, or no change. (limit 750 characters)

2011: 263
2013: 198
Decrease: -65

FAMILIES: In 2011, there were 5 unsheltered families with 17 people. In 2013, there were none. Reasons: a PSH grant to serve CH families opened and increased coordination with the YCOE Homeless Liaison.

HOUSEHOLDS WITH ONLY CHILDREN: In 2011, there was 1 unaccompanied youth, in 2013 none.

HOUSEHOLDS WITHOUT CHILDREN: There was a decrease of 47 persons. Increases: Woodland, 16; rural areas, 1. Decreases: Davis, 25; West Sacramento, 35. Some of this is due to increases in PSH beds, SSI Advocacy efforts, and an improved economy. However, Davis reported that people were farther out and harder to find and West Sacramento had recently experienced police camp visits on both sides of river.

2L. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

*** 2L-1 Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2013 point-in-time count:**

Public places count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Public places count with interviews on the night of the count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public places count with interviews at a later date:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service-based count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2L-2 If other, provide a detailed description. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2L-3 For each method selected, including other, describe how the method was used to ensure that the data collected on the unsheltered homeless population during the 2013 point-in-time count was accurate. (limit 750 characters)

PUBLIC PLACES COUNT WITH INTERVIEWS: Most interviews, especially in more populated areas, were done the morning after Count Night. Over 95% of the people counted were interviewed to ensure that they were actually homeless and to collect subpopulation information.

SERVICE-BASED COUNT: Since it is very difficult to find homeless people in remote areas, we also used a service-based strategy with interviews to reach them when they were accessing services.

2M. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Homeless Point-in-Time Count: Level of Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

2M-1 Indicate where the CoC located unsheltered homeless persons during the 2013 point-in-time count: A Combination of Locations

2M-2 If other, provide a detailed description. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

2N. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Homeless Point-in-Time Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

*** 2N-1 Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2013 unsheltered population count:**

Training:	X
"Blitz" count:	
Unique identifier:	X
Survey question:	X
Enumerator observation:	X
Other:	

2N-2 If other, provide a detailed description. (limit 750 characters)

2N-3 For each method selected, including other, describe how the method was used to reduce the occurrence of counting unsheltered homeless persons more than once during the 2013 point-in-time count. In order to receive credit for any selection, it must be described here. (limit 750 characters)

TRAINING: Stressed the importance of following count protocol to reduce chances of duplication.

UNIQUE IDENTIFIER: Asked for initials, age, and gender.

SURVEY QUESTIONS:
"Have you taken this survey today?"
"Where did you sleep last night?"

ENUMERATOR OBSERVATION:
Almost every unsheltered adult that was encountered was interviewed to verify homelessness and obtain subpopulation data. There were some people who could not be interviewed either because they refused or they were seen at a distance. There was space to record location, time, and observations about clothing, etc.

CHART TO RECORD LOCATION AND TIME: If the Data Entry Coordinator suspected a duplicate, she used this to see if there was a possibility of duplication.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Increase Progress Towards Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In FY 2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP). The first goal in Opening Doors is to end chronic homelessness by 2015. Creating new dedicated permanent supportive housing beds is one way to increase progress towards ending homelessness for chronically homeless persons. Using data from Annual Performance Reports (APR), HMIS, and the 2013 housing inventory count, complete the table below.

3A-1.1 Objective 1: Increase Progress Towards Ending Chronic Homelessness

	Proposed in 2012 CoC Application	2013 Actual Numeric Achievement and Baseline	2014 Proposed Numeric Achievement	2015 Proposed Numeric Achievement
3A-1.1a For each year, provide the total number of CoC-funded PSH beds not dedicated for use by the chronically homeless that are available for occupancy.		38	38	38
3A-1.1b For each year, provide the total number of PSH beds dedicated for use by the chronically homeless.	58	39	39	40
3A-1.1c Total number of PSH beds not dedicated to the chronically homeless that are made available through annual turnover.		3	3	3
3A-1d Indicate the percentage of the CoC-funded PSH beds not dedicated to the chronically homeless made available through annual turnover that will be prioritized for use by the chronically homeless over the course of the year.		100%	100%	100%
3A-1.1e How many new PSH beds dedicated to the chronically homeless will be created through reallocation?		6	0	0

3A-1.2 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to increase the number of permanent supportive housing beds available for chronically homeless persons and to meet the proposed numeric goals as indicated in the table above. Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to achieve the goal of ending chronic homelessness by the end of 2015. (limit 1000 characters)

There are 3 Permanent Supportive Housing projects. Two serve only chronically homeless. The third serves people with mental illness and has agreed to dedicate three more beds for CH and target openings that occur to the CH.

A City of Davis CH project is funded but not yet begun. We will open this program.

Two TH projects are exploring reallocating their HUD grants to PSH. The State Department of Housing and Community Development may release a NOFA to assist and allow those with EHAPCD funded transitional housing projects to convert them to PSH. These agencies will apply if feasible.

Continue HUD VASH efforts.

Efforts to increase CH beds were hindered by the 2012 and 2013 cuts. The 2012 Bonus Project of \$43,482 was not funded.

The Department of Employment and Social Services, Yolo County Housing, the County Administrator's Office have all expressed interest in using Housing First to place chronically homeless and families with children. HPAC will collaborate to develop a funding plan.

3A-1.3 Identify by name the individual, organization, or committee that will be responsible for implementing the goals of increasing the number of permanent supportive housing beds for persons experiencing chronic homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

YCCC will target the chronically homeless in any beds that open because of turnover.

The City of Davis Housing and Human Services Superintendent, YCCC, Homeless Coordinator, and HUD CPD staff will resolve the issues preventing the new program from opening.

Fourth and Hope and United Christian Centers will explore reallocating funding for their TH programs to PSH. They will apply for HCD funding if it becomes available and if it is feasible.

The Department of Employment and Social Services, the Yolo County Administrator's Office, Yolo County Housing, the Ten Year Plan Executive Commission, and HPAC will explore how to use the Housing First model and develop a funding plan.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 2: Increase Housing Stability

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In FY2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and the Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP). Achieving housing stability is critical for persons experiencing homelessness. Using data from Annual Performance Reports (APR), complete the table below.

3A-2.1 Does the CoC have any non-HMIS projects for which an APR should have been submitted between October 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013? Yes

3A-2.2 Objective 2: Increase Housing Stability

	2013 Actual Numeric Achievement and Baseline	2014 Proposed Numeric Achievement	2015 Proposed Numeric Achievement
3A-2.2a Enter the total number of participants served by all CoC-funded permanent supportive housing projects as reported on APRs submitted during the period between October 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013:	29	20	20
3A-2.2b Enter the total number of participants that remain in CoC-funded PSH projects at the end of the operating year PLUS the number of participants that exited from all CoC-funded permanent supportive housing projects to a different permanent housing destination.	28	28	28
3A-2.2c Enter the percentage of participants in all CoC-funded projects that will achieve housing stability in an operating year.	97%	80%	80%

3A-2.3 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to improve the housing stability of project participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing projects, as measured by the number of participants remaining at the end of an operating year as well as the number of participants that exited from all CoC-funded permanent supportive housing projects to a different permanent housing destination. Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to meet the numeric achievements proposed in the table above. (limit to 1000 characters)

Ninety-seven percent of participants achieved housing stability during this reporting period. However, because we don't have many beds and changes by a few participants can greatly affect the percentage and because new projects, if funded, would not have an APR until after the 2015 competition, we are keeping numbers flat and using the national housing stability goal.

Convene a working group of PSH providers to share best practices in serving the hardest to serve.

Examine performance data on a quarterly basis.

Request an agenda topic on a Northern California Homeless Roundtable to address serving the chronically homeless while achieving housing stability.

Continue to participate in the SMART-Y SSI/SSDI Advocacy Project. Receiving SSI allows participants more flexibility and freedom in their housing arrangements and leads to housing stability

3A-2.4 Identify by name the individual, organization, or committee that will be responsible for increasing the rate of housing stability in CoC-funded projects. (limit 1000 characters)

The Yolo County Homeless Coordinator will convene a working group of PSH Providers to share and explore best practices in serving the hardest-to-serve chronically homeless.

The HMIS Analyst will assist agencies in running quarterly reports. The HMIS Collaborative will discuss these and identify any needed program changes.

The Homeless Coordinator will request this be an agenda item at one of the Northern California/Central Valley Homeless Roundtable quarterly meetings.

CommuniCare, United Christian Centers, and the other homeless services providers will continue the SMART-Y SSI Advocacy Project.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Increase project participants income

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In FY2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and the Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP). Assisting project participants to increase income is one way to ensure housing stability and decrease the possibility of returning to homelessness. Using data from Annual Performance Reports (APR), complete the table below.

3A-3.1 Number of adults who were in CoC-funded projects as reported on APRs submitted during the period between October 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013: 176

3A-3.2 Objective 3: Increase project participants income

	2013 Actual Numeric Achievement and Baseline	2014 Proposed Numeric Achievement	2015 Proposed Numeric Achievement
3A-3.2a Enter the percentage of participants in all CoC-funded projects that increased their income from employment from entry date to program exit?	19%	22%	22%
3A-3.2b Enter the percentage of participants in all CoC-funded projects that increased their income from sources other than employment from entry date to program exit?	21%	23%	26%

3A-3.3 In the table below, provide the total number of adults that were in CoC-funded projects with each of the cash income sources identified below, as reported on APRs submitted during the period between October 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013.

Cash Income Sources	Number of Participating Adults	Percentage of Total in 3A-3.1	
Earned Income	50	28.41	%
Unemployment Insurance	3	1.70	%
SSI	13	7.39	%

SSDI	10	5.68	%
Veteran's disability	0		%
Private disability insurance	0		%
Worker's compensation	0		%
TANF or equivalent	51	28.98	%
General Assistance	0		%
Retirement (Social Security)	0		%
Veteran's pension	1	0.57	%
Pension from former job	0		%
Child support	3	1.70	%
Alimony (Spousal support)	0		%
Other Source	1	0.57	%
No sources	46	26.14	%

3A-3.4 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to increase the percentage of project participants in all CoC-funded projects that increase their incomes from non-employment sources from entry date to program exit. Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to meet the numeric achievements proposed in the table (3A-3.2) above. (limit 1000 characters)

This is an area where we provide intensive case management. We will address this by:

- 1) Convening all program staff and HMIS data entry personnel from all funded projects to discuss the importance of documenting all non-employment sources of income in the HMIS.
- 2) HMIS will run quarterly reports on income and will be reviewed.
- 3) Invite the county mainstream benefits programs to make presentations to the local planning groups and brainstorm with us on how to increase enrollment.
- 4) Request a special worker be assigned to assist our participants.
- 5) Research best practices on the NAEH, USICH, OneCPD websites and share the results.
- 6) Ask the Northern California/Central Valley Roundtable to focus one quarterly meeting on increasing sources of income.

3A-3.5 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to increase the percentage of project participants in all CoC-funded projects that increase their incomes through employment from entry date to program exit. Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to meet the numeric achievements proposed in the table above. (limit 1000 characters)

Continue the employment training programs for homeless adults. United Christian Centers has a Bus Driver's training program. Fourth and Hope requires capable adults to volunteer for a job training program. This may include working at the B2B thrift store, obtaining Serv-Safe certification through the on-site kitchen or registering for a Certified Nursing Assistance Program training (partnership with Yolo County ROP).

Explore SSI work incentive programs of SSI. We will ask our Social Security offices for workshops.

HPAC will address how their clients current experiences in connecting with the One-Stop Employment Centers and work with DESS to address any needed changes.

Continue to research this issue and share best practices.

3A-3.6 Identify by name the individual, organization, or committee that will be responsible for increasing the rate of project participants in all CoC-funded projects that increase income from entry date to program exit. (limit 1000 characters)

The Yolo County Homeless Coordinator, with assistance from the HMIS Lead Analyst, will convene the working group of program providers on the importance of capturing all employment and cash benefits for each client/family.

The Homeless Coordinator and the HPAC Chair will liaison with DESS about speakers and placement of workers for easy access. They will also discuss any issues about One-Stop Employment Centers that are identified.

United Christian Centers and Fourth and Hope will continue and improve their employment training programs.

Please note that while the national goal for employment is 20% and the goal for non-employment income is 54%, HUD is asking above for the difference between program entry and exit. Many of the participants have received excellent case management through outreach efforts or in the emergency shelter and they have these sources already. Therefore, we are keeping the numbers lower than the national average.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 4: Increase the number of participants obtaining mainstream benefits

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In FY2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and the Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP). Assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits is one way to ensure housing stability and decrease the possibility of returning to homelessness. Using data from Annual Performance Reports (APR), complete the table below.

3A-4.1 Number of adults who were in CoC- funded projects as reported on APRs submitted during the period between October 1, 2012 and September 30, 2013. 176

3A-4.2 Objective 4: Increase the number of participants obtaining mainstream benefits

	2013 Actual Numeric Achievement and Baseline	2014 Proposed Numeric Achievement	2015 Proposed Numeric Achievement
3A-4.2a Enter the percentage of participants in ALL CoC-funded projects that obtained non-cash mainstream benefits from entry date to program exit.	49%	50%	50%

3A-4.3 In the table below, provide the total number of adults that were in CoC-funded projects that obtained the non-cash mainstream benefits from entry date to program exit, as reported on APRs submitted during the period between October 1, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

Non-Cash Income Sources	Number of Participating Adults	Percentage of Total in 3A-4.1
Supplemental nutritional assistance program	71	40.34 %
MEDICAID health insurance	23	13.07 %
MEDICARE health insurance	6	3.41 %
State children's health insurance	0	%
WIC	0	%

VA medical services	3	1.70	%
TANF child care services	0		%
TANF transportation services	0		%
Other TANF-funded services	17	9.66	%
Temporary rental assistance	0		%
Section 8, public housing, rental assistance	0		%
Other Source	0		%
No sources	63	35.80	%

3A-4.4 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to increase the percentage of project participants in all CoC-funded projects that access mainstream benefits from entry date to program exit. Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to meet the numeric achievements proposed in the table above. (limit 1000 characters)

The new HMIS is functioning well but the CoC Coordinator and Fourth and Hope Analyst have noticed some data that doesn't seem congruent. The first step will be to offer a workshop on the importance of good data in increasing participants' income and non-cash benefits. It will cover definitions of each program and which programs generally go together. For example, TANF is often offered with SNAP and MediCal and sometimes WIC or that people on SSI will have medical insurance. This will be especially important with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act. Supervisory, program, and data entry staff from CoC funded and ESG programs will be required to attend. We will then run APRs on a quarterly basis to check for adherence and programs will be asked to have their data reviewed before submitting their APRs.

Next we will research best practices in obtaining non-cash benefits.

3A-4.5 Identify by name the individual, organization, or committee that will be responsible for increasing the rate of project participants in all CoC-funded projects that that access non-cash mainstream benefits from entry date to program exit. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC Coordinator and the Fourth and Hope HMIS Lead will schedule and design the training, working with the Sacramento Steps Forward HMIS Analyst.

Management staff, program staff, and data entry staff from each CoC Program and ESG Program will attend the training. This includes the DV provider using a comparable database.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 5: Using Rapid Re-Housing as a method to reduce family homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In FY2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and the Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP). Rapid re-housing is a proven effective housing model. Based on preliminary evidence, it is particularly effective for households with children. Using HMIS and Housing Inventory Count data, populate the table below.

3A-5.1 Objective 5: Using Rapid Re-housing as a method to reduce family homelessness.

	2013 Actual Numeric Achievement and Baseline	2014 Proposed Numeric Achievement	2015 Proposed Numeric Achievement
3A-5.1a Enter the total number of homeless households with children per year that are assisted through CoC-funded rapid re-housing projects.	0	0	0
3A-5.1b Enter the total number of homeless households with children per year that are assisted through ESG-funded rapid re-housing projects.	24	24	24
3A-5.1c Enter the total number of households with children that are assisted through rapid re-housing projects that do not receive McKinney-Vento funding.	0	0	0

3A-5.2 Describe the CoC's two year plan (2014-2015) to increase the number homeless households with children assisted through rapid re-housing projects that are funded through either McKinney-Vento funded programs (CoC Program, and Emergency Solutions Grants program) or non-McKinney-Vento funded sources (e.g., TANF). Response should address the specific strategies and actions the CoC will take to meet the numeric achievements proposed in the table above. (limit 1000 characters)

Currently there is no CoC Rapid Rehousing and there is one ESG Rapid Rehousing program, which has been operating less than six months.

No jurisdiction receives ESG funds directly from HUD. Agencies apply to the California Department of Housing and Community Development. The CoC is responsible for assigning up to 100 need points for ESG applications. We have given Rapid Rehousing a high priority.

It does not appear that there will enough CoC funds to develop more Rapid Rehousing. We will explore applying for multiple rapid rehousing grants in the next ESG round of applications but this does pit our applications against each other. It depends on HCD's decisions about percentages set aside of RRH, maximum application amounts, etc.

This will be a primary topic of our strategic planning. While we appreciate the Rapid Rehousing program in principle, we will need more funding. We will ask that non-ESG Rapid Rehousing be explored by the Ten Year Planning Commission.

3A-5.3 Identify by name the individual, organization, or committee that will be responsible for increasing the number of households with children that are assisted through rapid re-housing in the CoC geographic area. (limit 1000 characters)

Yolo Family Resource Center is the lead for Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing in Yolo County. They will research these issues and bring them before the Technical Committee and All-HPAC.

The HPAC Representative on the Ten Year Plan Commission will ask for non-ESG Rapid Rehousing to be explored.

3A-5.4 Describe the CoC's written policies and procedures for determining and prioritizing which eligible households will receive rapid re-housing assistance as well as the amount or percentage of rent that each program participant must pay, if applicable. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC has developed ESG Written Standards. They have had one major revision to reflect local experience and policy.

The ESG Written Standards suggest criteria to prioritize which of the eligible households will receive rapid rehousing. In practice, Yolo Family Resource Center convenes bi-weekly meetings of ESG staff and staff from referring agencies to discuss cases and then decided which households should be chosen for the program.

Given that the contracts from HCD are only for a year, long-term rental assistance is not a possibility. The ESG Written Standards recommend that programs consider the following: What will be most helpful for the participant in keeping or regaining permanent housing? Experience has shown that there's more buy-in if the participant is paying some of the costs. On the other hand, some program participants at initial entry have no income.

Based on our HPRP experience 4-6 months is a reasonable time period to get good results for the household and the program.

**3A-5.5 How often do RRH providers provide case management to households residing in projects funded under the CoC and ESG Programs?
(limit 1000 characters)**

Upon entry into the program, most participants are receiving case management at least on a weekly basis. This may taper down to monthly case management if the participants are doing well and it looks likely that they will be able to transition to paying their own rent.

**3A-5.6 Do the RRH providers routinely follow up with previously assisted households to ensure that they do not experience additional returns to homelessness within the first 12 months after assistance ends?
(limit 1000 characters)**

The goal is to provide monthly follow up to previously assisted households for a year to ensure that they do not become homeless again.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning: Foster Care

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3B-1.1 Is the discharge policy in place State Mandated Policy mandated by the State, the CoC, or other?

3B-1.1a If other, please explain. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

3B-1.2 Describe the efforts that the CoC has taken to ensure persons are not routinely discharged into homeless and specifically state where persons routinely go upon discharge. (limit 1000 characters)

The State is very clear about the discharge plan for youth exiting the foster care system at 18, "The California Welfare and Institutions Code states Termination of Jurisdiction requires that before a youth can be emancipated from foster care that the following assistance has been provided: application for Medi-Cal; application for college, training program, or employment programs; information on obtaining financial assistance for education and employment programs; and assistance in securing housing. It is the practice and policy of the Yolo County Juvenile Court to not sign Termination of Dependency Jurisdictions when a youth reaches the age of majority unless all of the above is in place.

Extended Foster Care allows foster youth to stay in care through age 21. Other exiting youth routinely go to: Transitional Housing Placement Plus, housing at colleges or universities, and market rate housing (Pacifico Cooperative Housing in Davis or shared housing situations).

3B-1.3 Identify the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that are responsible for ensuring that persons being discharged from a system of care are not routinely discharged into homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Yolo County Department of Employment and Social Services
Foster Youth Services, Yolo County Office of Education
Foster parents
Yolo County Courts
Yolo County Probation Office
CASA – Court Appointed Special Advocates
CommuniCare
Children's Alliance of Yolo County
Victor Youth Services
Yolo Family Resource Center
HPAC
Yolo County Probation Office
Yolo County Courts
HPAC

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning: Health Care

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3B-2.1 Is the discharge policy in place State Mandated Policy mandated by the State, the CoC, or other?

3B-2.1a If other, please explain. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

3B-2.2 Describe the efforts that the CoC has taken to ensure persons are not routinely discharged into homeless and specifically state where persons routinely go upon discharge. (limit 1000 characters)

The emergency shelters will generally not accept hospital patients unless they are fully recovered and were homeless before being hospitalized. This has resulted in longer hospital stays as discharge planners arrange appropriate housing for clients.

Shelter staff have invited discharge planners to visit the shelters in the evenings when they are full so they can appreciate how inappropriate shelters are for most people leaving the hospital.

We have explored creating an Interim Care Project where people can stay when they are well enough to leave the hospital but too sick to be on the street. During their stay, housing may be arranged.

Depending on their circumstances, people may be discharged to Turning Point Community Programs, Safe Harbor Crisis Residential, skilled nursing facilities, motels, their own homes, family, or friends.

3B-2.3 Identify the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that are responsible for ensuring that persons being discharged from a system of care are not routinely discharged into homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Dignity Health/Woodland Healthcare
UC Davis Health Systems
CommuniCare
Yolo County Health Department
Yolo County DESS
Yolo County ADMH
YCCC/Safe Harbor Crisis House
Turning Point Community Programs
The Salvation Army
Homeless service providers
Hospital Council of Northern and Central California
HPAC

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning: Mental Health

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3B-3.1 Is the discharge policy in place State Mandated Policy mandated by the State, the CoC, or other?

3B-3.1a If other, please explain. (limit 750 characters)

Not applicable

3B-3.2 Describe the efforts that the CoC has taken to ensure persons are not routinely discharged into homeless and specifically state where persons routinely go upon discharge. (limit 1000 characters)

The Yolo County Department of Alcohol, Drugs, and Mental Health is the local partner responsible for ensuring that people with mental illness do not become homeless when leaving institutions. They contract with Turning Point Community Services to provide emergency, transitional, and permanent supportive housing depending of the needs of the clients.

Biweekly SUR (Systems Utilization Review) meetings are used to arrange for discharge of mental health clients between programs.

Another option is the Greater Access Program (GAP) which provides individualized services following the empirically supported Critical Time Intervention Model. Interventions are carried out 7 days per week, 24 hours per day in welcoming settings. CTI has proven to prevent recurrent homelessness and other adverse outcomes by providing practical support during transition from shelters or hospitalization to independent or supported housing.

3B-3.3 Identify the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that are responsible for ensuring that persons being discharged from a system of care are not routinely discharged into homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Yolo County Department of Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health
Yolo Community Care Continuum
Turning Point Community Programs
Fourth and Hope
CommuniCare

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning: Corrections

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3B-4.1 Is the discharge policy in place Other mandated by the State, the CoC, or other?

3B-4.1a If other, please explain. (limit 750 characters)

State: AB 109 shifts criminal justice responsibilities from the state prisons and parole board to local county officials and superior courts

Local: Each county has developed its own Realignment Plan

3B-4.2 Describe the efforts that the CoC has taken to ensure persons are not routinely discharged into homeless and specifically state where persons routinely go upon discharge. (limit 1000 characters)

Fourth and Hope’s Housing Manager and DVSAC staff attend monthly Discharge Planning Meetings held by the Sheriff’s Department wherein inmates are designated to be released. The Housing Manager and DVSAC then collaborate with the Probation Department to coordinate placement. The Housing Manager meets with those inmates to inform them about services including non-HUD funded housing, employment training programs and substance abuse treatment services.

Once released, the assigned Probation Officer completes a STRONG assessment. This Offender Needs Guide tool provides the supervising officer with the 3 primary needs of the offender and the transition manager and the officer work together to provide the identified services.

The Probation Department may pay for residential substance abuse treatment. This 90-day program includes drug and alcohol counseling, structured supportive treatment groups, one-on-one counseling sessions and comprehensive lifestyle assessment and realignment.

There is a group of offenders who could released with electronic monitoring but are homeless, and cannot be released. The Probation Department has funded transitional housing units.

**3B-4.3 Identify the stakeholders and/or collaborating agencies that are responsible for ensuring that persons being discharged from a system of care are not routinely discharged into homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)**

Yolo County AB 109 Coalition
State Parole Department
Probation Department
Superior Court
District Attorney's Office
Yolo County Sherriff
CalEMA (California Emergency Management Assistance)
Fourth and Hope (works with men being released)
Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence (works with women being released)
Veteran's Administration
Literacy Coalition
CommuniCare Health Centers
Yolo Family Resource Center

3C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3C-1 Does the Consolidated Plan for the jurisdiction(s) within the CoC’s geography include the CoC’s strategic plan goals for addressing and ending homelessness? Yes

3C-1.1 If yes, list the goals in the CoC strategic plan. (limit 1000 characters)

CITY OF DAVIS:

Yolo County and the cities of Davis, West Sacramento, Winters, and Woodland have maintained a countywide coalition on homelessness for over a decade and have recently completed and adopted a ten-year plan to end and prevent homelessness throughout the County.

The goals of this plan are:

PREVENTION: Prevent homelessness through early, comprehensive assistance to those at-risk.

HOUSING: Provide a wide range of opportunities and services to help people access and maintain extremely affordable permanent housing.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES: Provide comprehensive, integrated services to help people.

IMPLEMENTATION: Effectively administer, coordinate and finance implementation of the ten-year plan and its efforts to prevent and end homelessness.

CITY OF WOODLAND:

Work with the County Homeless Coordinator and County Homeless Coalition to identify housing programs, support services and homeless prevention programs to address the needs of the homeless.

3C-2 Describe the extent in which the CoC consults with State and local government Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program recipients within the CoC's geographic area on the plan for allocating ESG program funds and reporting on and evaluating the performance of ESG program recipients and subrecipients. (limit 1000 characters)

None of our jurisdictions receive funds directly from HUD. Instead our agencies must apply through the California State Housing and Community Development Department. Members of our CoC have attended the planning workshops and brainstorming sessions HCD has sponsored. HCD ESG staff regularly attend the Northern California/Central Valley Homeless Roundtable to share the current plans for funding and to get feedback. HCD ESG staff have attended HPAC meetings.

The competition for these ESG program funds is intense and agencies are not assured of receiving funding during each funding round. HCD has now given the CoCs the responsibility to award up to 100 Needs Points on applications (no application may receive the same number of points). We are using this as a preliminary way to assign priorities for ESG funding.

3C-3 Describe the extent in which ESG funds are used to provide rapid rehousing and homelessness prevention. Description must include the percentage of funds being allocated to both activities. (limit 1000 characters)

ESG applicants submit their applications directly to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). The CoC does not have control over what is funded.

In the 2012 Competition, four out of five Yolo applications were funded for a total of \$699,188. Yolo Family Resource Center, previously the HPRP lead agency, received \$230,000 for a Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing grant. YFRC was chosen to apply for this funding it because serves the whole county.

2013 COMPETITION: Applications were just submitted on December 17, 2013. The eight applications totaled \$969,664. There is only \$8,419,262 available for the whole state. The maximum application was \$168,385. The Rapid Rehousing application was \$168,385 and the Homelessness Prevention application was \$100,000.

FUTURE: HCD will probably allocate more each funding round to Rapid Rehousing. We will need to consider separate applications for each area of the county even though this pits the areas against each other.

3C-4 Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless within the CoC's entire geographic area. (limit 1000 characters)

The sheltered programs have generally given priority to helping families move to permanent housing or permanent supportive housing as quickly as possible.

Over the next two years, we plan to:

Collaborate with the two Family Resource Centers (FRC) and other children's services agencies to better identify where we can improve services to homeless families and those at risk of homelessness. The FRCs offer many supportive services that benefit our clients.

We will continue to work with the Yolo County Office of Education Homeless Liaison.

3C-5 Describe how the CoC coordinates with other Federal, State, local, private and other entities serving the homeless and those at risk of homelessness in the planning and operation of projects. (limit 1000 characters)

We actively recruit all federal, state, local, and private entities to become involved at the CoC level. We consider HCD and HUD to be our partners in providing services. Our name, Homeless and Poverty Action Coalition, signifies that one of our main strategies is to work with all agencies serving the low-income and not just the homeless.

The CoC Coordinator operates a listserv for Northern California and Nevada CoC Coordinators. The CoC plans with other close by CoCs when possible. We are a founding member of the Northern California/Central Valley Homeless Roundtable, which meets quarterly to discuss issues vital to the development of the CoCs and reduction of homelessness.

3C-6 Describe the extent in which the PHA(s) within the CoC's geographic area are engaged in the CoC efforts to prevent and end homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Yolo County Housing is the Public Housing Agency, for Yolo County. Addressing homelessness is a major concern and initiative of YCH. YCH coordinates and integrates services with many homeless service agencies. YCH does this by:

Serving as the lead agency for the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness.

Being an active member of the Homeless and Poverty Action Coalition (HPAC), the Continuum of Care for Yolo County.

Notifying HPAC members of current housing opportunities, opening and closing of wait lists, and assisting with maintaining current client contact information.

Consulting with member agencies on resident issues that may be due to disabilities or a history of homelessness.

Coordinating resources with member agencies to streamline efficiencies and provide optimum service to homeless populations.

Partnering on resident education, legal and social services support related to sexual assault and domestic violence throughout the YCH housing portfolio.

3C-7 Describe the CoC’s plan to assess the barriers to entry present in projects funded through the CoC Program as well as ESG (e.g. income eligibility requirements, lengthy period of clean time, background checks, credit checks, etc.), and how the CoC plans to remove those barriers. (limit 1000 characters)

The programs are committed to serving the hardest to serve, which includes those with such issues. Entry in the programs is not generally a problem. Finding landlords willing to accept those with a poor credit history, etc. may be an issue. The CoC has given workshops for landlords and employers about ways to reduce barriers.

While we don’t perceive programs having barriers to program entry as those listed, we do believe that given the ESG Interim Regulations and the CoC Interim Regulations, there is still some confusion about HUD’s current regulations. For instance, HUD-funded programs in the past needed to serve people on a first-come, first-served basis. Now, they are asked to target the hardest to serve, those with the longest histories of homelessness, and the chronically homeless.

We will repeat and improve earlier trainings that stressed the differences between the old and new. We will also do a survey to establish if there are barriers to program entry and what they are.

3C-8 Describe the extent in which the CoC and its permanent supportive housing recipients have adopted a housing first approach. (limit 1000 characters)

The permanent supportive housing providers have been using a Housing First approach and, thus, had no trouble agreeing to use that approach. They provide “whatever it takes” for a participant to be successful being housed. For instance, it may take a few months after getting an apartment for a participant to actually be willing to sleep inside or use the bed rather than sleep on the floor. The difference now is that HUD is allowing using a targeted approach rather than requiring a first-come, first-served approach.

There still are not enough PSH beds to accommodate the needs and sometimes it is necessary to find temporary housing for the person. Also, some PSH beds are in shared housing. It is imperative that the combination of people sharing the house be considered. For instance, it would be irresponsible to place a person who is still using in a house where all other participants are struggling to maintain their sobriety. An individual housing situation would need to be found.

3C-9 Describe how the CoC's centralized or coordinated assessment system is used to ensure the homeless are placed in the appropriate housing and provided appropriate services based on their level of need. (limit 1000 characters)

We have had a coordinated assessment system since HPRP and have recently been updating it. It is very useful to help insure that people are referred to the correct agencies and receive the right level of services. It also reduces the time beds remain empty between participants. However, while useful, it does not in itself create more housing. There are times when we need to go with the best available option at that time.

3C-10 Describe the procedures used to market housing and supportive services to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status, or disability who are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach. (limit 1000 characters)

There are several procedures used throughout the CoC, which include:

Annual Fair Housing Training

Hiring of bilingual, culturally competent staff (mainly Spanish but also Russian)

Housing Resource Centers

3C-11 Describe the established policies that are currently in place that require all homeless service providers to ensure all children are enrolled in early childhood education programs or in school, as appropriate, and connected to appropriate services within the community. (limit 1000 characters)

It is the policy of the Yolo County CoC that the CoC will collaborate with educational agencies at a policy level to ensure that the law is followed and that all children will be offered the appropriate educational programs.

Providers who serve households with children must either identify one staff member to assist with placement in school or train all staff on this.

3C-12 Describe the steps the CoC, working with homeless assistance providers, is taking to collaborate with local education authorities to ensure individuals and families who become or remain homeless are informed of their eligibility for McKinney-Vento educational services. (limit 1000 characters)

Housing providers and the Yolo County Office of Education have developed extremely helpful and friendly relationships. They work together to jointly serve homeless students.

At least annually, school personnel present at CoC meetings to review the educational rights of the students and make providers aware of services available. This year we have been stressing that this covers early childhood programs and may extend to age 22 for special education students.

3C-13 Describe how the CoC collaborates, or will collaborate, with emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing providers to ensure families with children under the age of 18 are not denied admission or separated when entering shelter or housing. (limit 1000 characters)

Emergency shelters: The Fourth and Hope Emergency Shelter has a Family Room.

DV Shelter: Has separate rooms for each family.

Transitional housing: Programs that serve families, serve all ages. Most of the housing is one family per unit.

Permanent Housing: The scattered site PSH housing is appropriate for all family members. The Rapid Rehousing programs help families find conventional housing.

As far as we are aware, this is not an issue. Nevertheless, we will investigate this issue to make sure it is not happening.

3C-14 What methods does the CoC utilize to monitor returns to homelessness by persons, including, families who exited rapid re-housing? Include the processes the CoC has in place to ensure minimal returns to homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Individual programs have tracked this for several years but this has not been tracked across the CoC yet. HMIS and the Coordinated Assessment process will be used.

We are developing and implementing performance standards. We will address how to monitor returns to homelessness, including rapid rehousing.

3C-15 Does the CoC intend for any of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? No

3C-15.1 If yes, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

3C-16 Has the project been impacted by a major disaster, as declared by President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Act in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2013 CoC Program Competition? No

3C-16.1 If 'Yes', describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

Not applicable

3D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination with Strategic Plan Goals

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

In 2013, applications submitted to HUD for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program will be evaluated in part based on the extent in which they further the achievement of HUD's goals as articulated in HUD's Strategic Plan and the Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (FSP).

3D-1 Describe how the CoC is incorporating the goals of Opening Doors in local plans established to prevent and end homelessness and the extent in which the CoC is on target to meet these goals. (limit 1000 characters)

HPAC is working to ensure that the goals of Opening Doors are incorporated into our planning and actions.

FINISH THE JOB OF ENDING CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS

We have begun three permanent supportive housing projects for the chronically homeless and have prioritized housing for them. We have used Rapid Rehousing successfully to place them in housing. This has been done with an annual Bonus amount of less than \$50,000.

PREVENT AND END HOMELESSNESS AMONG VETERANS

We have been funded for VA emergency services and there is funding for veterans to receive residential treatment. SSVF has begun to serve Yolo County.

PREVENT AND END HOMELESSNESS FOR FAMILIES, YOUTH, AND CHILDREN

The YCOE Homeless Liaison and the homeless programs work together well. We had an HPRP program and now we have ESG Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing Program.

SET A PATH TO ENDING ALL TYPES OF HOMELESSNESS

Housing is seen as the basic way to improve quality of life for people who are homeless and their community neighbors.

3D-2 Describe the CoC's current efforts, including the outreach plan, to end homelessness among households with dependent children. (limit 750 characters)

We give priority to families with children in the emergency shelter and provide immediate case management to help them obtain housing as quickly as possible. Each city has a transitional housing program for families and half of new PSH applications have been to serve chronically homeless families.

We work intensely with the McKinney-Vento Homeless Liaison to insure that homeless children are enrolled in education and receiving the supports they need to be successful.

The Yolo Family Resource Center operates two FRCs in the heart of Woodland and Davis. The Yolo Children’s Alliance serves West Sacramento. The Yolo Crisis Nursery is used frequently by parents in emergency and transitional programs for respite and to prevent separation.

3D-3 Describe the CoC's current efforts to address the needs of victims of domestic violence, including their families. Response should include a description of services and safe housing from all funding sources that are available within the CoC to serve this population. (limit 1000 characters)

The Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Center (SADVC) is an active member of HPAC. They provide emergency housing to DV survivors and work with other providers to help the residents move to safe and permanent housing.

Many of the homeless individuals/families in emergency shelters and transitional housing have experienced family violence. SADVC helps educate other CoC members on the issues and ways to address them. SADVC services are open to residents of other emergency, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing programs.

SADVC offers discharge planning to all female inmates at the county jail who request the service, regardless of whether or not they identify as victims of domestic violence or sexual assault.

SADVC is reviewing the work of the Coordinated Assessment Committee to make sure the new Coordinated Assessment process will be effective for survivors of domestic violence and sexual assault.

3D-4 Describe the CoC’s current efforts to address homelessness for unaccompanied youth. Response should include a description of services and housing from all funding sources that are available within the CoC to address homelessness for this subpopulation. Indicate whether or not the resources are available for all youth or are specific to youth between the ages of 16-17 or 18-24. (limit 1000 characters)

In 2013, we had no unaccompanied youth under 18 years old in the homeless count; in 2011 there was one. We participated in technical assistance on the best practices to find youth. The Executive Director of Yolo Family Resource Center formerly operated the WIND Youth Shelter in Sacramento and confirms that there is not much of an issue in Yolo County.

Many teens and young adults are couchsurfing. Technically they do not count as homeless; we are working on ways to reach and identify this population.

There are no housing services for unaccompanied youth under 18 years old.

Eight percent of adults interviewed in the 2013 Count were between 18-24. The county has a Transitional Housing Placement Plus program to serve aging out or former foster youth up to 24 years of age. Youth can move directly from foster care and can enter later if they become homeless. Aging out foster youth may also stay in those placements until 21 years of age.

3D-5 Describe the efforts, including the outreach plan, to identify and engage persons who routinely sleep on the streets or in other places not meant for human habitation. (limit 750 characters)

In each of the three major cities, there is one primary homeless services provider offering a continuum of homeless services. These agencies are well-known and located in easy-to-find locations. Two are currently offering day services. All either have hot meals programs or are connected closely to the community group offering meals. 2-1-1 refers clients. Public librarians are aware of services and refer clients. Homeless individuals and families often refer other homeless for services.

3D-6 Describe the CoC’s current efforts to combat homelessness among veterans, particularly those are ineligible for homeless assistance and housing through the Department of Veterans Affairs programs (i.e., HUD-VASH, SSVF and Grant Per Diem). Response should include a description of services and housing from all funding sources that exist to address homelessness among veterans. (limit 1000 characters)

We worked closely with veterans groups during the 2013 Unsheltered Homeless Count and 12% of the adults interviewed were veterans. Since there is not a concentrated group, our strategy is to work with other counties within our region to develop joint responses. We serve veterans within our regular housing programs.

The Grant and Per Diem Liaison, Department of Veterans Affairs, Northern California Health Care System is a member of HPAC and attends when she can. She has arranged emergency services and residential treatment services at Fourth and Hope.

The Chair of the Yolo County Veterans Council is also a HPAC member and assists us in looking for ways to better serve homeless veterans.

HPAC and Yolo County Housing are actively pursuing HUD VASH vouchers.

3E. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

3E-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible expiring grant(s) into one or more new permanent supportive housing projects dedicated to chronically homeless persons? Yes

3E-2 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible expiring grant(s) into one or more new rapid re-housing project for families? No

**3E-2.1 If the CoC is planning to reallocate funds to create one or more new rapid re-housing project for families, describe how the CoC is already addressing chronic homelessness through other means and why the need to create new rapid re-housing for families is of greater need than creating new permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless persons.
(limit 1000 characters)**

Not applicable

3E-3 If the CoC responded 'Yes' to either of the questions above, has the recipient of the eligible renewing project being reallocated been notified? Yes

3F. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs planning to reallocate into new permanent supportive housing projects for chronically homeless individuals may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating projects entirely must identify those projects.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
This list contains no items				

3G. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that choose to reallocate funds into new rapid rehousing or new permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless persons may do so by reducing the grant amount for one or more eligible expiring renewal projects.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$23,009					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
Transitional Hous...	CA0310L9T211205	\$108,786	\$101,016	\$7,770	Regular
Transitional Hous...	CA0309L9T211205	\$165,920	\$154,068	\$11,852	Regular
Solutions Life Sk...	CA0307L9T211205	\$47,413	\$44,026	\$3,387	Regular

3G. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

3G-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY2013 reallocation process. CoCs should refer to the final HUD approved FY2013 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Transitional Housing Program for Homeless Single Men and Women

Grant Number of Reduced Project: CA0310L9T211205

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$108,786

Amount Retained for Project: \$101,016

Amount available for New Project(s): \$7,770
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

3G-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC did not determine that this project should be reduced. However, given that we needed to cut 5% of the ARD, we determined that we could best meet HUD's and our local priorities for increasing permanent supportive housing for the chronically homeless by reducing our transitional housing projects. The providers suggested reducing each transitional housing project by its proportion of the total TH amount. This was approved by the CoC membership. Since DCM receives 33.77% of the total TH amount, its cut was 33.77% of the \$23,009 we needed to reduce.

3G. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

3G-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY2013 reallocation process. CoCs should refer to the final HUD approved FY2013 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Transitional Housing 2012

Grant Number of Reduced Project: CA0309L9T211205

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$165,920

Amount Retained for Project: \$154,068

Amount available for New Project(s): \$11,852
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

**3G-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced.
(limit 750 characters)**

The CoC did not determine that this project should be reduced. However, given that we needed to cut 5% of the ARD, we determined that we could best meet HUD's and our local priorities for increasing permanent supportive housing for the chronically homeless by reducing our transitional housing projects. The providers suggested reducing each transitional housing project by its proportion of the total TH amount. This was approved by the CoC membership. Since YWC receives 51.51% of the total TH amount, its cut was 51.51% of the \$23,009 we needed to reduce.

3G. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

3G-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY2013 reallocation process. CoCs should refer to the final HUD approved FY2013 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Solutions Life Skills Classes

Grant Number of Reduced Project: CA0307L9T211205

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$47,413

Amount Retained for Project: \$44,026

Amount available for New Project(s): \$3,387
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

**3G-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced.
(limit 750 characters)**

The CoC did not determine that this project should be reduced. However, given that we needed to cut 5% of the ARD, we determined that we could best meet HUD's and our local priorities for increasing permanent supportive housing for the chronically homeless by reducing our transitional housing projects. The providers suggested reducing each transitional housing project by its proportion of the total TH amount. This was approved by the CoC membership. Since UCC receives 14.72% of the total TH amount, its cut was 14.72% of the \$23,009 we needed to reduce.

3H. Reallocation - New Project(s)

CoCs must identify the new project(s) it plans to create and provide the requested information for each project.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

\$23,009				
Current Priority #	New Project Name	Component Type	Transferred Amount	Reallocation Type
7	2013 Realloc...	PH	\$23,009	Regular

3H. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

3H-1 Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY2013 CoC Program Competition. CoCs can only reallocate funds to new permanent housing—either permanent supportive housing for the chronically homeless or rapid re-housing for homeless households with children.

FY2013 Rank (from Project Listing): 7

Proposed New Project Name: 2013 Reallocation Project

Component Type: PH

Amount Requested for New Project: \$23,009

3I. Reallocation: Balance Summary

3I-1 Below is the summary of the information entered on forms 3D-3H. and the last field, "Remaining Reallocation Balance" should equal "0." If there is a balance remaining, this means that more funds are being eliminated or reduced than the new project(s) requested. CoCs cannot create a new reallocated project for an amount that is greater than the total amount of reallocated funds available for new projects.

Reallocation Chart: Reallocation Balance Summary

Reallocated funds available for new project(s):	\$23,009
Amount requested for new project(s):	\$23,009
Remaining Reallocation Balance:	\$0

4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Performance

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

4A-1 How does the CoC monitor the performance of its recipients on HUD-established performance goals? (limit 1000 characters)

We review APRs at the next Technical Committee Meeting after they are submitted to HUD. We discuss strengths and challenges with the Recipient. Annually, when we submit the Continuum of Care applications, we discuss what we need to do before the next application.

Since our portfolio of CoC Program funded grants is slowly inching up and we now have a responsibility to monitor and evaluate ESG grants as well, we believe we need a much more stringent process. A small sub-committee has volunteered to design a monitoring and evaluation process for the CoC. It is first reviewing materials from OneCPD, USICH, and NAEH and will be asking the Regional TA Team for help. We also are reviewing materials HUD has published since the release of the ESG Interim Regulations and the CoC Interim Regulations. We are especially interested in mining reports we already have to file for performance measures.

4A-2 How does the CoC assist project recipients to reach HUD-established performance goals? (limit 1000 characters)

The first step has been to make recipients aware of the performance goals. It is also important to ensure that all personnel working in the project understand what the performance goals are, how they are measured, and the connection to HMIS data entry.

The next step is to keep the performance goals in people's awareness by discussing at least quarterly. Now that we have an effective HMIS we will be running quarterly reports so that people can be aware of where they are throughout their Operating Year.

We are beginning to issue quarterly reports and updates that focus on ending chronic homelessness, increasing housing stability, increasing project participants income, and participants obtaining mainstream benefits.

**4A-3 How does the CoC assist recipients that are underperforming to increase capacity?
(limit 1000 characters)**

There have been years in which certain projects have not met the HUD goals for one or more performance measures. The first step has been to determine if it was a statistical fluke. For instance, one project was the only PSH project we had at that time. It has only nine beds. While most years it generally had 90-95% staying in permanent housing for six months or more, there was one year they had four participants leave before 6 months. One person moved to permanent housing. With the other three, one person had started to use drugs again. Because they were living in the same house, two other participants also started to use. This became a severe enough issue that they were asked to leave the program. Several of the projects are small and have few participants.

If it is the program that is the issue, the other projects offer support and share what they do in similar circumstances. We check in with the agency quarterly. These disruptions usually occur when there has been major staff turnover.

**4A-4 What steps has the CoC taken to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless?
(limit 1000 characters)**

First, the HMIS lead is working on reports to focus on the length of time people are in program.

Second, while we have had a Coordinated Assessment process since HPRP days, we are in the midst of updating and improving it to make it more effective. This should result in homeless individuals and families receiving the help best suited to their needs more quickly and, thus, reduce the time they remain homeless.

Third, we are trying to develop more Rapid Rehousing projects.

Fourth, SSI Advocacy. We have found that having SSI can be enough for a person to afford housing. The Specialized Multiple Advocates Resource Team-Yolo (SMARTY) program is a regional SSI/SSDI disability enrollment program, an adaptation of the SOAR model, that enrolls chronically homeless individuals in federal and state benefits programs in an effort to both reduce utilization of area emergency rooms and address the long term needs Yolo County homeless population.

**4A-5 What steps has the CoC taken to reduce returns to homelessness of individuals and families in the CoC's geography?
(limit 1000 characters)**

The first step has been to provide the services the client or family need while they are receiving subsidized housing. Then we connect them to as many mainstream services as they need. The third step is to provide follow-up or follow-along services for a year.

For instance, the GAP Program uses the following protocol: upon referral a consumer participates in the development of a Personalized Program Plan (PPP) that is consumer driven and focused on the goals and desired achievements of the consumer. The typical PPP focuses on these 5 basic service needs: physical health, mental health, substance use, income benefits/employment/training and supported housing. The Program Plan Manager (PPM) meets with the consumer on a weekly basis to provide support, access, and connectivity to the desired resources to accomplish the desired outcomes.

**4A-6 What specific outreach procedures has the CoC developed to assist homeless service providers in the outreach efforts to engage homeless individuals and families?
(limit 1000 characters)**

Each of three major cities has a homeless services provider that is well known in the community. Almost all residents of the community know enough to refer homeless individuals and clients to the agency. Food and hot meals have been a way to draw in people and begin to engage.

The Coordinated Assessment process will be improving outreach efforts.

4B. Section 3 Employment Policy

Instructions

*** TBD ****

4B-1 Are any new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding? No

4B-1.1 If yes, which activities will the project(s) undertake to ensure employment and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons? (limit 1000 characters)

Not applicable

4B-2 Are any of the projects within the CoC requesting funds for housing rehabilitation or new constructions? No

4B-2.1 If yes, which activities will the project undertake to ensure employment and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons:

4C. Accessing Mainstream Resources

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2013 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2013 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical question to the OneCPD Ask A Question at <https://www.onecpd.info/ask-a-question/>.

4C-1 Does the CoC systematically provide information about mainstream resources and training on how to identify eligibility and program changes for mainstream programs to provider staff? Yes

4C-2 Indicate the percentage of homeless assistance providers that are implementing the following activities:

* Homeless assistance providers supply transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs.	100%
* Homeless assistance providers use a single application form for four or more mainstream programs.	100%
* Homeless assistance providers have staff systematically follow-up to ensure mainstream benefits are received.	100%

4C-3 Does the CoC make SOAR training available for all recipients and subrecipients at least annually? No

4C-3.1 If yes, indicate the most recent training date:

4C-4 Describe how the CoC is preparing for implementation of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in the state in which the CoC is located. Response should address the extent in which project recipients and subrecipients will participate in enrollment and outreach activities to ensure eligible households are able to take advantage of new healthcare options. (limit 1000 characters)

The ACA adds single adults to the existing eligible population for Medi-Cal, making it possible for childless homeless adults to get ongoing medical care.

Yolo County Department of Employment and Social Services, a CoC member, administers the ACA. DESS has made presentations at All-HPAC meetings to alert other homeless services providers about the ACA. DESS contracted with five community nonprofits to assist with Medi-Cal Outreach and Enrollment. Three of the five are HPAC members. Yolo Family Resource Center provides the ESG Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Rehousing program.

The way the ACA is offered makes it easier for homeless people to access services. The focus has switched from “Are you eligible?” to “What are you eligible for?” so during the application for ACA they are being screened for mainstream benefits such as SNAP, WIC, TANF, etc. The “One and Done” concept of no additional appointments and interviews being completed during the first contact helps the homeless access services.

**4C-5 What specific steps is the CoC taking to work with recipients to identify other sources of funding for supportive services in order to reduce the amount of CoC Program funds being used to pay for supportive service costs?
(limit 1000 characters)**

We will continue to explore this. However, several of our agencies are fortunate to own their property and, thus, have not been able to apply for leasing funds. While they own the property, in order to be able to house people who are homeless, they have needed Operating Costs and Supportive Services. Homeless people are still being housed. The three bonus projects and one reallocation project are at less than 17% supportive services and they are serving people with severe issues.

Submission Summary

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	No Input Required
1B. CoC Operations	01/31/2014
1C. Committees	02/02/2014
1D. Project Review	02/02/2014
1E. Housing Inventory	01/31/2014
2A. HMIS Implementation	02/03/2014
2B. HMIS Funding Sources	01/31/2014
2C. HMIS Beds	01/31/2014
2D. HMIS Data Quality	02/03/2014
2E. HMIS Data Usage	01/31/2014
2F. HMIS Policies and Procedures	01/31/2014
2G. Sheltered PIT	02/03/2014
2H. Sheltered Data - Methods	02/03/2014
2I. Sheltered Data - Collection	02/03/2014
2J. Sheltered Data - Quality	02/03/2014
2K. Unsheltered PIT	02/03/2014
2L. Unsheltered Data - Methods	01/31/2014
2M. Unsheltered Data - Coverage	01/31/2014
2N. Unsheltered Data - Quality	02/03/2014
Objective 1	02/03/2014
Objective 2	02/03/2014
Objective 3	02/03/2014
Objective 4	02/03/2014
Objective 5	02/03/2014
3B. CoC Discharge Planning: Foster Care	02/03/2014
3B. CoC Discharge Planning: Health Care	02/03/2014

3B. CoC Discharge Planning: Mental Health	02/03/2014
3B. CoC Discharge Planning: Corrections	02/03/2014
3C. CoC Coordination	02/03/2014
3D. Strategic Plan Goals	02/03/2014
3E. Reallocation	01/30/2014
3F. Grant(s) Eliminated	No Input Required
3G. Grant(s) Reduced	02/03/2014
3H. New Project(s)	01/31/2014
3I. Balance Summary	No Input Required
4A. Project Performance	02/03/2014
4B. Employment Policy	01/31/2014
4C. Resources	02/03/2014
Attachments	02/03/2014
Submission Summary	No Input Required